

## **RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION COMMITTEE**

**HELD AT THE TOWN HALL, PETERBOROUGH ON TUESDAY, 18 FEBRUARY 2020**

### **5.1. 19/01757/HHFUL - 152 Garton End Road Peterborough PE1 4EZ**

#### **RESOLVED:**

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **REFUSE** the application as per Officers recommendation. The Committee **RESOLVED** (7 For, 4 Against) to **REFUSE** the planning permission.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION:**

The proposed dropped kerb was considered to be unsafe and detrimental to the safety of users of the adjacent public highway network. The dropped kerb would not provide the necessary vehicle-to-vehicle visibility splays which would prevent drivers exiting the site from having adequate visibility of oncoming traffic. In addition, the site is not capable of providing the necessary parking and turning areas which would result in vehicles leaving the site backwards and reversing into oncoming traffic, impeding its free flow and posing an unacceptable safety danger. The dropped kerb would therefore result in unacceptable harm to the safety of users of the public highway and was considered contrary to Policy LP13 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).

### **5.2 19/01829/FUL - Unit D The Boulevard Retail Park Maskew Avenue New England**

#### **RESOLVED:**

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application as per Officers recommendation. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimously) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

#### **REASON FOR THE DECISION:**

Subject to the imposition of the conditions, the proposal was acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

The addition of this bulky goods retail floorspace within the existing unit, was considered to be acceptable and would not affect the vitality and viability of designated retail centres, or undermine the Council retail strategy in terms of retail capacity and growth. The proposal would therefore not represent an unacceptable conflict with LP12 of the Local Plan and the NPPF

The access arrangements and traffic impacts are considered to be acceptable. There are no highway safety concerns with the proposal. The development is therefore in accordance with Policy LP13 of the Local Plan.

### **5.3 19/01870/OUT - 2 Moggswell Lane Orton Longueville Peterborough PE2 7DS**

#### **RESOLVED:**

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to go against the Officers recommendation and **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (Unanimously) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

**REASON FOR THE DECISION:**

Members felt that there was not a strong enough case to refuse the application despite the policies outlined by Officers and that the Committee had not raised the same concerns during debate. Members were of the view that the proposal would be compatible with the site and surroundings with no adverse impact on neighbouring properties, nor any significant highway concerns.

In addition, Members also expected that the neighbours concerns should be taken into account when reserve matters returned to Committee for approval.